Bollettino della Società Paleontologica Italiana

# Orycteropus cf. gaudryi (Mammalia, Tubulidentata) from the Late Messinian of the Monticino Quarry (Faenza, Italy)

Lorenzo ROOK Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra Università di Firenze Federico MASINI Dipartimento di Geologia e Geodesia Università di Palermo

KEY WORDS - Orycteropus, Tubulidentata, Mammalia, Late Messinian, MN 13, Late Turolian, Italy.

ABSTRACT – Aardvark remains from the late Messinian deposits of Monticino Gypsum Quarry (Brisighella, Faenza) are described and referred to Orycteropus cf. gaudryi. These finds represent the westernmost known record of Tubulidentata in the Late Miocene of Eurasia. Although very rare as a fossil, Orycteropus was a widespread taxon in the Mio-Pliocene of Eurasia at mediterranean latitudes.

RIASSUNTO – [Orycteropus cf. gaudryi (Mammalia, Tubulidentata) dal Messiniano terminale della cava di gesso del Monticino (Faenza, Italia)] – I resti fossili di oritteropo raccolti nella cava Monticino (Brisighella, Faenza) vengono attribuiti a Orycteropus cf. gaudryi. Questi reperti rappresentano la segnalazione più occidentale di questa forma in Eurasia durante il Miocene superiore. Orycteropus, pur essendo un taxon estremamente raro, ha avuto un areale di distribuzione abbastanza ampio alle latitudini mediterranee durante il Mio-Pliocene.

### FOREWORD

A late Messinian vertebrate fauna (MN 13 mammal zone) has been collected in the fissure fillings of the Monticino Quarry, near Brisighella (Faenza, Central Italy), since 1985 (Costa et al., 1986). The exposed succession is composed, at the base, of a series of tilted gypsum layers with interbedded clays of the early Messinian Gessoso-Solfifera Formation. The gypsum was affected by moderate erosion and by a karst dissolution cycle that took place following the tilting of the strata, not far from the present setting, as is suggested by morphological evidence. The deposits that infill the net of fissures intersecting the gypsum and the depressions ont the upper surface of the gypsum sequence consist of marly-clays, finegrained conglomerates and sand lenses derived from the Colombacci Formation (grey pelites and variegated marly clays with whitish to yellow thin evaporitic marly limestone; latest Messinian). They contain partially articulated and fragmented skeletons of large mammals, and very abundant micromammal remains. Up to now 29 fossiliferous collecting points have been explored, some of which represent successive sampling of the same fissure that were brought to light by the progress of the quarrying activity. Several taxa have been the object of systematic studies; references and an updated faunal list can be found in Masini & Rook (1993). The Gessoso-Solfifera Formation is unconformably overlain by a thin discontinuous layer of greenish to blackish clay (0 to 1 m thick) that is considered

equivalent to the later depositional phases of the Colombacci Formation and is attributed to the Late Messinian. The succession is capped by up to 20 m of Early Pliocene marine gray marly clays (Argille Azzurre Formation) whose base falls in the *Sphaeroidinellopsis* Zone, and which overlie, with apparent conformity, the Colombacci Formation. We refer the reader to Vai (1989) for a more complete discussion of the geological setting of the Romagna Apennine, and to Marabini & Vai (1989) for further details on the geology of the Monticino Quarry.

In the faunal list of Monticino Quarry given by De Giuli *et al.* (1988) *Orycteropus* sp. was reported on the basis of a single molar and a few postcranial bones; some more specimens have been collected since then. These finds are noteworthy as an additional record of a very rare fossil form, and also because they represent the western-most record of Tubulidentata in the late Miocene of Eurasia known to date.

#### SYSTEMATICS

## Order TUBULIDENTATA Huxley, 1872 Family Orycteropodidae Bonaparte, 1850 Genus Orycteropus Geoffroy, 1795 Orycteropus cf. gaudryi Forsyth-Major, 1888 Pl. 1, figs. 1-9

Material – Left M1/ [BRS27/51 (Pl. 1, fig. 1)]; left M3/ [BRS27/50 (Pl. 1, fig. 3)]; right M/2 [BRS5/69 (Pl. 1, fig. 2)]; left and right astragalus [BRS5/141 (Pl. 1, fig. 8); BRS5/142]; left and right calcaneum [BRS5/2; BRS5/58 (Pl. 1, fig. 7)]; four phalanx I, manus [BRS5/81 (Pl. 1, fig. 5); BRS5/214; BRS5/215; BRS4/2]; distal fragment of phalanx I, pes (BRS25/22); two phalanx II [BRS5/216 (Pl. 1, fig. 6); BRS27/22]; right metatarsal I [BRS5/43 (Pl. 1, fig. 4)]; two left trapezia [BRS5bis/5; BRS5/290 (Pl. 1, fig. 9)].

Description and comparisons - The specimens are well preserved. In occlusal outline the M1/ (BRS27/51) is eight-shaped while the M3/ (BRS27/50) is weakly bilobed. The lower M1 (BRS5/69) is bilobed. The molars show the typical wear surface of Tubulidentata; the tubular structure is clearly visible in all specimens.

The astragalus (BRS5/141-142) shows the characteristic morphology typical of the genus, such as the presence of an astragalar foramen and the ball-like navicular articulation. The astragalus and calcaneum are smaller and more slender than those of the living species, O. afer.

Metatarsal I (BRS5/43) has, on its proximal end, a large articulation for the trapezium, while the articular facet for Metatarsal II is broken; the diaphysis is slender, as are the phalanges (Pl. 1, figs. 4-5; Tab. 1).

The size and morphology of teeth and postcranial bones of the aardvark from Monticino Gypsum Quarry are fully comparable with the remains of Orycteropus gaudryi Forsyth Major 1888 from the Island of Samos (MN 12; Greece), which are stored at the American Museum of Natural History (New York), and which were described by Colbert (1941). The general proportions of the skeleton of O. gaudryi are fairly similar to those of the living Orycteropus afer Pallas 1766. However, the postcranial bones of this Turolian species from Italy are more slender. With respect to all the known fossil species of the genus, the living O. afer is the largest overall

and, in particular, has a relatively larger forelimb, which may be indicative of a more developed digging adaptation.

Orycteropus mauritanicus from Oued El Hammam (Vallesian; Algeria) is represented by a fragmentary skull and mandible, and some postcranial bones. Its facial region appears to have been longer relative to the braincase than that of O. gaudryi. It is distinctly larger in size with respect to the latter species and to the Monticino specimens, and has a rather narrow astragalus characterized by a neck that is relatively longer than those of other fossil Orycteropus in which this bone is known.

Unfortunately, the Monticino material cannot be compared directely with O. depereti from Perpignan (MN 15), because the latter is represented only by the type skull. O. depereti is comparable in size with O. mauritanicus, while it agrees with O. gaudryi in rostral length and width, and in the nearly vertical occiput (cf. Patterson, 1978).

Since the most significant diagnostic features of the species mentioned rely on the morphology of skull and mandible, the Monticino aardvark is not unequivocably attributable to anyone of them. However, because of its age and the similarities mentioned, i.e. its overall size and the slenderness of its postcranial skeletal elements, we attribute the Monticino material to Orycteropus cf. gaudryi.

Discussion - The extant aardvark is the representative of a family that is now restricted to the single species Orycteropus afer, which inhabits Africa south of the Sahara. However, more diversified representatives of the Orycteropodidae were widespread in Africa, and dispersed northwards and eastwards in Mio-Pliocene times. Aardvarks have also been recorded from middle Miocene to Pliocene deposits of Europe, Caucasus, Asia Minor and Pakistan.

Following the systematic revision of the family

#### **EXPLANATION OF PLATE 1**

- Orycteropus cf. gaudryi from Monticino Gypsum Quarry. Figs. 1-9

- 1) Left M1/ BRS27/51 a) labial, b) lingual views;
  - 2) right M/2 BRS5/6 a) labial, b) lingual views;
- 3) left M3/ BRS27/50 a) labial, b) lingual views;
  4) right metatarsal BRS5/43 a) medial, b) palmar, c) dorsal, d) lateral views;
- 5) phalanx I manus BRS5/81 a) proximal, b) palmar, c) dorsal, d) lateral views;
- 6) phalanx II BRS5/216 a) lateral, b) dorsal, c) palmar views;
- 7) right calcaneum BRS5/58 a) dorsal, b) medial, c) lateral views;
- 8) left astragalus BRS5/141 a) dorsal, b) medial, c) plantar views;
- 9) left trapezium BRS5/290 a) medial, b) lateral views.

All figures in natural size.

L. ROOK, F. MASINI, ORYCTEROPUS CF. GAUDRYI FROM MONTICINO QUARRY





8a

7a

6



7b

8b



7c

8c

6a



9a

6b





|                          | Heigth | Breadth                               |                |
|--------------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| Astragalus               |        |                                       |                |
| Orycteropus mauritanicus | 30.4   | 27.5                                  | Arambourg 1959 |
| Orycteropus gaudryi      | 27.2   | 25.3                                  | AMNH 22762     |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi  | 27.6   | 23.7                                  | BRS 5/141      |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi  | 27.3   |                                       | BRS 5/142      |
| Calcaneum                |        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |                |
| Orycteropus mauritanicus | 60.5   | 30.0                                  | Arambourg 1959 |
| Orycteropus gaudryi      | 57.0   | *29.3                                 | AMNH 22762     |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi  | 57.8   | 26.5                                  | BRS 5/2        |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi  | 57.7   | 26.9                                  | BRS 5/58       |
| Trapezium                |        |                                       |                |
| Orycteropus gaudryi      | 21.6   | 17.1                                  | AMNH 22762     |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi  | 18.6   | 15.4                                  | BRS 5/5        |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi  | 20.9   | 15.8                                  | BRS 5/290      |

|                         | Lt   | Dp   | Bp   | Dd   | Bd   |            |
|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|
| Metatarsal I            |      |      |      |      |      |            |
| Orycteropus gaudryi     | 35.0 | 10.9 | 9.9  | 10.4 | 10.5 | AMNH 22762 |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi | 32.0 | 10.7 | *8.6 | 8.1  | 8.1  | BRS 5/43   |
| Phalanx I (manus)       |      |      |      |      |      |            |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi | 35.1 | 10.5 | 9.1  | 6.2  | 8.1  | BRS 5/81   |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi | 29.8 | 10.7 | 8.5  | 6.3  | 7.9  | BRS 5/214  |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi | 27.4 | 9.8  | 8.2  | 6.6  | 7.5  | BRS 5/215  |
| Orycteropus cf. gaudryi | 27.5 | 10.0 | 8.2  | 6.5  | 7.4  | BRS 4/2    |

Tab. 1 - Measurements, in millimetres, of postcranial skeleton elements in some fossil Orycteropus species (\* = inferred value; Lt = Greatest Length; Dp = Depth of proximal end; Bp = Breadth of proximal end; Dd = Depth of distal end; Bd = Breadth of distal end).

made by Patterson (1975), three fossil genera belong in the Orycteropodidae, in addition to the extant Orycteropus: Myorycteropus MacInnes (1956), from the Early Miocene of Kenya and Uganda (M. africanus); Leptorycteropus Patterson (1975), from the Late Pliocene of Kenya (L. guilielmi); Plesiorycteropus Filhol (1895), an endemic genus from the Holocene of Madagascar (P. madagascariensis).

According to the view of Patterson (1975), only six species can be placed with confidence in the genus Orycteropus Geoffroy (1795), in addition to the living O. afer:

Orycteropus mauritanicus, Vallesian from Oued El Hammani, Algeria (Arambourg 1951, 1959).

Orycteropus gaudryi, Turolian, from Maragha (MN 11), Iran (Forsyth Major, 1893; Campbell et al., 1980), from Samos (MN 12), Greece (Forsyth Major, 1888; Andrews, 1896; Lewis, 1938; Colbert, 1941), from Tiraspol (MN 13), Moldavia (Pavlova, 1915), and from Monticino Quarry (MN 13), Italy (De Giuli et al., 1988; this paper).

Orycteropus depereti, Ruscinian from Perpignan (MN 15), France (Helbing 1933).

Orycteropus pottieri, Late Pliocene, from Turkey (Ozansoy 1965).

Orycteropus sp., Late Pliocene, from Laetoli, Tanzania (Dietrich, 1942).

Orycteropus crassidens, Late Pleistocene, from Kenya (MacInnes, 1956).

In addition, a number of other fossil forms have been referred to the genus *Orycteropus* (Tab. 2). Most of these references are based solely on isolated teeth, maxillary, mandibular and postcranial fragments and, following Patterson's view (1978), their generic attribution is to be accepted with reservations, as they may well belong to different genera of the same family.

Given these evidences, it appears that representatives of the aardvark family underwent a first dispersal at least in the Middle Miocene (N. Africa, Turkey, Pakistan). The first occurrence of the genus Orycteropus, as seen above, is in the Vallesian of Oued El Hammam (Algeria), with the species O. mauritanicus. At the end of the Miocene this genus dispersed into Asia Minor and Europe. At present taxonomic evidence is not sufficient to indicate if the more or less coeval finds from the Siwaliks (Colbert, 1933; Lewis, 1938; Patterson, 1975, 1978; Pickford, 1978) result from this late dispersal event, or if they represent a parallel product of the previous dispersal.

*Remarks* – Aardvarks have a heavily built skeleton with limbs that are specialized for digging. The cheek teeth are large and unique among mammals, in that they are composed of numerous fused hexagonal columns of dentine that appear as tubes on the base of the tooth. Aardvarks have neither incisors nor canines, nor do they have enamel on any of the teeth. The high abrasion rate due to the absence of enamel is compensated for by the continuous growth of the cheek teeth.

Aardvarks are of special phylogenetic interest. Almost certainly African in origin, they are clearly distinct phylogenetically from the other mammalian groups that are highly committed to feeding on ants, such as the myrmecophagid Xenarthra and the pangolins (Pholidota). The pattern of enamel loss of the aardvarks resembles that of the Edentata, but there is controversy regarding their ultimate ancestry. Most recent authors have allied them closely with condylarths, but the highly specialized tooth morphology precludes the specific comparison of the teeth, which are the basis for the recognition of the condylarth families (Patterson, 1978).

The only possible evidence against an African origin of the Tubulidentata are some remains from the Quercy Phosphorites (France). Those specimens (a humerus, a tibia and an incomplete dorsal portion of a skull) were first referred to Tubulidentata by Filhol (1894) and have been then compared with Pholidota or regarded as indeterminate (Simpson, 1931; Emry, 1970; Patterson, 1975). Recently, the skull fragment was again tentativelly referred to the Tubulidentata by Thewissen (1985).

|   | Locality                                  | Locality Material   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| Early Miocene                             |   |   |  |
| Orycteropus (?) minutus                   | Songhor, Rusinga and<br>Mfwangano (Kenya) | Isolated molars, autopodium bones   | Pickford, 1975                                   |
| Middle Miocene                            |   |   |  |
| Orycteropus (?) sp.                       | Pasalar (Turkey; MN 6)                    | Two isolated teeth, phalanx, metacarpal   | Fortelius, 1990                                  |
| Orycteropus (?) sp. (small)               | Chinji (Pakistan)                         | Two fragmentary mandibular rami,<br>postcranial bones   | Pickford, 1978                                   |
| Orycteropus (?) chemeldoi                 | Fort Ternan (Kenya)                       | Two phalanges   | Pickford, 1975                                   |
| Orycteropus (?) chemeldoi                 | Ngorora Fmt. (Member B)<br>(Kenya)        | Mandibular ramus (fragment), isolated teeth, associated bones of a left manus   | Pickford, 1975                                   |
| Orycteropus (?) sp.                       | Belomechetskaya (Caucasus)                | Mandibular ramus (fragment)   | Gabounia, 1956                                   |
| Late Miocene                              |   |   |  |
| Orycteropus (?) sp. (large)               | Lukeino (Kenya)                           | A fragmentary left talus  | Pickford, 1975                                   |
| Orycteropus (?) sp. (tiny)                | Lukeino (Kenya)                           | Phalanx (proximal fragment)   | Pickford, 1975                                   |
| Orycteropus (?) browni<br>[=O. pilgrimi?] | Nagri and Dhok Pathan<br>(Pakistan)       | Maxillary fragment with M2-M3, M2/,<br>fragmentary skull including posterior<br>portion of the palate and part of the<br>ascending ramus, fragmentary mandibular<br>ramus, metacarpal | Colbert, 1933;<br>Lewis, 1938;<br>Pickford, 1978 |
| Early Pliocene                            |   |   |  |
| Orycteropus (?) sp.                       | Makapan (S. Africa)                       | Mandibular ramus (fragment), M1/  | Kitching, 1963                                   |

Tab. 2 - Fossils referred in the literature to the genus Orycteropus whose generic attribution has to be regarded with reserve (according to Patterson, 1975).

*Tubulodon taylori*, from the Eocene of Wyoming, was described by Jepsen (1932) as a Tubulidentata. However, following Simpson (1959), it is now accepted as a palaeanodont.

The survival of aardvarks is linked to the presence of soft soils. This characteristic is very important, because they dig burrows either for food, or as temporary shelters or permanent residence; they are nocturnal, and sleep in their burrows during the day. Living Orycteropus seem to favour arid environments, although they cannot tolerate completely arid conditions and they occurs in forest too (Kingdon, 1971; Shoshani et al., 1988). The Ethiopian and Cape sub-species of Africa are in fact separated only by the barrier of the intermediate humid regions. The range of Orycteropus afer possibly also extended to North Africa during historical times (Shoshani et al., 1988). Orycteropus feeds primarily on termites, but it is known to intergrate its myrmecophagean diet by eating the fruits of a Cucurbitaceae plant species (Cucumis humifructus), which represents an important source of water. It is significant that the range of this plant coincides with the distribution of living aardvarks, and the association between the animal and the plant is so close as to be described as symbiotic (Meeuse, 1963).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The Orycteropus cf. gaudryi found in the Monticino Gypsum Quarry is the western-most record of Tubulidentata in the Late Miocene of Eurasia. Although very rare as a fossil, Orycteropus was a widespread taxon in the Mio-Pliocene of Eurasia at mediterranean latitudes. The occurrence, in Mio-Pliocene deposits, of this rare form, which is represented by species congeneric with the living aardvark, suggests that environment was most likely to have been arid.

The occurrence of this taxon in the Monticino faunal assemblage does not appear to indicate a direct connection between Italy and the African continent via Sicily during latest Miocene. Instead, as has been shown for the genus Hystrix (Masini & Rook, 1993; Montoya, 1993), the fossil distribution of aardvarks in latest Miocene sediments (North Africa, Egean region, Caucasus and Iran) suggests a dispersal of this taxon through eastern pathways. Furthermore, the Monticino mammal fauna contains no elements indicative of direct migrations from North Africa. It is, on the contrary, characterized by the presence of taxa with Egean or eastern provenance (e.g. Samotragus, Centralomys, Trischizolagus) or with strong european affinities (e.g. Stephanomys).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Tonino Benericetti, Gian Paolo Costa and Marco Sami (Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Faenza) without whose patient and careful activity the Monticino fossils would neither have been found nor preserved from damage by quarring activity. Prof. J. Hürzeler, Dr. B. Engesser (Naturhistorisches Museum Basel) and Dr. R. H. Tedford (American Museum of Natural History, Dept. of Vertebrate Paleontology, New York) kindly allowed access to collections in their institutions and offered helpful suggestions and comments. Prof. Danilo Torre (Florence) and Dr. Gianpaolo Costa (Faenza) critically read the manuscript. Photos were prepared with the support of Mr. F. Cozzini (Museo di Geologia e Paleontologia, Florence University). This work was supported by M.U.R.S.T. and C.N.R. grants.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- ANDREWS, C. W., 1896, On a skull of Orycteropus gaudryi Forsyth Major, from Samos: Proc. Zool. Soc. London, 1896: 196-199.
- ARAMBOURG, C., 1951, Observations sur les couches à *Hipparion* de la vallée de l'Oued El Hammam (Algérie) et sur l'époque d'apparition de la faune de vertébrés dite «pontienne»: C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris: t. 232: 2464-2466.
- —, 1959, Vertébrés continentaux du Miocène supérieur de l'Afrique du Nord: Publ. Serv. Carte Géol. de l'Algêrie, N.S., Paléont., 4: 1-161.
- CAMPBELL, B. G., AMINI, M. H., BERNOR, R. L., DICKINSON, W., DRAKE, R. MORRIS, R., VAN COUVERING, J. A. & VAN COUVERING J. A. H., 1980, Maragheh: a classical late Miocene vertebrate locality in northwestern Iran: Nature, 287: 837-841.
- COLBERT, E. H., 1933, The presence of Tubulidentata in the Middle Siwalik beds of northern India: American Mus. Nov., 604: 1-10.
- —, 1941, A study of Orycteropus gaudryi from the island of Samos: Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist., 78: 305-351.
- COSTA, G. P., COLALONGO, M. L., DE GIULI, C., MARABINI, S., MASINI, F., TORRE, D. & VAI, G. B., 1985, Latest Messinian vertebrate fauna preserved in a paleokarst-neptunian dyke setting: Le Grotte d'Italia, (4) XII: 221-235.
- DE GIULI, C., MASINI, F. & TORRE, D., 1988, The mammal fauna of Monticino quarry. *In* De Giuli, C. & Vai, G. B. (eds.), Fossil vertebrates in the Lamone valley, Romagna Apennines. Field trip guidebook: 65-69.
- DIETRICH, W. O., 1942, Altesquartäre Säugetiere aus der südlichen Serengeti, Deutsch-Ostafrika: Palaeontographica, 94 (A): 43-133.
- EMRY, R. J., 1970, A North American Oligocene pangolin and other addition to the Pholidota: Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 142: 455-510.
- FILHOL, M. H., 1894, Observations concernant quelques mammifères fossiles nouveaux de Quercy: Ann. Sci. Nat. Zool., 7, 16: 129-150.
- —, 1895, Observations concernant les Mammifères contemporains des Aepyornis à Madagascar: Bull. Mus. Nat. Hist., Paris, 1: 14.
- FORSYTH MAJOR, C. J., 1888, Sur un gisement d'ossements fossiles dans l'île de Samos, contemporains de l'âge de Pikermi: C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 31 décembre 1888.
- —, 1893, On a tooth of an Ant-bear (*Orycteropus*) from the Upper Miocene of Maragha (Persia): Proc. Zool. Soc. London, March 14, 1893: 239-240.
- FORTELIUS, M., 1990, Less common ungulate species from Pasalar, middle Miocene of Anatolia (Turkey): Journal of Human Evolution, 19: 479-487.
- GABOUNIA, L. K., 1956, On the remains of the fossil Orycteropus sp. in mid-Miocene Belomechet deposits in northern Caucasus: C.R. Acad. Sci. Adzerbaidjan S.S.R., 12: 203-206.

- HELBING, H., 1933, Ein Orycteropus-Fund aus dem Unteren Pliocaen des Roussillon: Eclog. Geol. Helv., 26: 256-267.
- JEPSEN, G. L., 1932, Tubulodon taylori, a Wind River Eocene Tubulidentate from Wyoming: Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., 71: 255-274.
- KINGDON, J., 1971, East African mammals: an atlas of evolution in Africa. Academic Press, New York, 1: 1-146.
- KITCHING, J. W., 1963, A fossil Orycteropus from the Limeworks quarry, Makapansgat, Potgietersrust: Palaeont. Afr., 8: 119-121.
- LEWIS, G. E., 1938, A skull of Orycteropus pilgrimi: American Journ. Sci., 5° sér., 36: 401-405.
- MACINNES, D. G., 1956, Fossil Tubulidentata from East Africa. Fossil mammals of Africa, n° 10: 38 pp.; British Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London.
- MARABINI, S. & VAI, G. B., 1989, Geology of the Monticino quarry, Brisighella, Italy. Stratigraphic implications of its late Messinian mammal fauna: Boll. Soc. Paleont. Ital., 28 (2-3): 369-382.
- MASINI, F. & ROOK, L., 1993, *Hystrix primigenia* (Mammalia, Rodentia) from the late Messinian of the Monticino gypsum guarry (Faenza, Italy): Boll. Soc. Paleont. Ital., 32 (1): 79-87.
- MEEUSE, A. D., 1963, A possible case of interdependence between a mammal and a higher plant: Arch. Neerland. Zool., 13 (1): 314-318.
- MONTOYA, P., 1993, The porcupine *Hystrix suevica* Schlosser, 1884 from the Lower Turolian of Crevillente 2 (Spain): Scripta Geol., 103: 135-149.
- OZANSOY, F., 1965, Étude des gisements continentaux et des mammifères du Cénozoïque de Turquie: Mém. Soc. géol. France, 102: 1-92.
- PATTERSON, B., 1975, The fossil aardvarks (Mammalia, Tubulidentata): Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 147 (5): 185-237.
- —, 1978, Pholidot and Tubulidentata. In Maglio, V.J. & Coke, H.B.S. (eds.), Evolution of African mammals: 268-277; Harvard University Press, Cambridge.
- PAVLOVA, M. V., 1915, Mammifères tertiaires de la nouvelle Russie: Nouv. mém. Soc. Natur. Moscou, XVII, 4.
- PICKFORD, M., 1975, New fossil Orycteropodidae (Mammalia: Tubulidentata) from East Africa. Orycteropus minutus sp. nov. and Orycteropus chemelodi sp. nov.: Netherl. J. Zool., 25: 57-88.
- —, 1978, New evidence concerning the fossil aardvarks (Mammalia, Tubulidentata) of Pakistan: Tertiary Res., 2 (1): 39-44.
- SHOSHANI, J., GOLDMAN, C. A. & THEWISSEN, J. G. M., 1988, Orycteropus afer: Mammalian Species, 300: 1-8.
- SIMPSON, G. G., 1931, *Metacheiromys* and the Edentata: Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., 59: 295-381.
- —, 1959, A new Middle Eocene edentate from Wyoming: Amer. Mus. Novitates, 1950: 1-8.
- THEWISSEN, J. G. M., 1985, Cephalic evidence for the affinities of Tubulidentata: Mammalia, 49: 257-284.
- VAI, G. B., 1989, A field trip guide to the Romagna Apennine geology. The Lamone valley: Boll. Soc. Paleont. Ital., 28 (2-3): 343-367.

### (manuscript received March 4, 1994 accepted September 1, 1994)

#### Lorenzo Rook

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra Via G. La Pira 4, 50121 Firenze, Italy

#### Federico MASINI

Dipartimento di Geologia e Geodesia Corso Tukory 131, 90134 Palermo, Italy